UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,543	04/15/2004	Toshiaki Ueda	056208.53982US	6548
23911 7590 01/12/2007 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			COMAS, YAHVEH	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	-
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/824,543	UEDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yahveh Comas	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 20 October 2006.				
	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice i	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,9-11,13,15 and 16</u> is/are p	ending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,9-11,13,15 and 16</u> is/are re	ejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority dod					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	·	·			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 9-13 and 15-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3, 9-11, 13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Umeda U.S. Patent No 5,998,903.

Umeda discloses an alternator a rotor (3) having a plurality of N and S poles alternately arranged in a rotating direction, a stator including a annular stator core (32) surrounding the rotor and provided with a plurality of slots, and a multiphase stator winding embedded in the slots and a frame (43, 44) supporting the rotor and the stator. Umeda disclose the claimed invention except for how the stator winding is formed.

However, how the stator winding is formed does not germane in the patentability of the device it self. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art,

Art Unit: 2834

the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Umeda U.S. Patent No 5,998,903 Richter et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,427,910

Umeda, disclose the claimed invention except for the stator winding being fixed in place by inserting magnetic wedges in the slots. However Richter discloses a stator having a magnetic wedge (3) in order to holds the stator windings in the slots formed between the teeth of the stator. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Umeda's invention and provide a

Art Unit: 2834

magnetic wedge in the slots since that would had been desirable in order to holds the stator windings in the slots formed between the teeth of the stator as teach by Richter.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yahveh Comas whose telephone number is 570-272-2020. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm M-T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,543

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YC

DARMEN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CANYOR 2800